Continued Evidence of Positive Effects on FCAT Scores for a Non-standard Approach to Reading Intervention

* A descriptive synthesis of five years of FCAT Developmental Scale Score (DSS) gains for students who used Tune In to Reading in Elementary, Middle, and High School.
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Key Findings:

- Over five consecutive years, struggling readers that used a non-standard reading intervention (Tune In to Reading “TiR”) outperformed non-TiR users on the Florida Comprehensive Assessment Test (FCAT) in year-over-year gains by a range of 27% to 214%.

- No other independent studies have been found that show a reading intervention having a positive effect on FCAT scores over multiple years.
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Introduction

This is the fifth abstract in a series that reports on continuing research using an interactive singing software program with struggling readers (Tune in to Reading, TiR). Abstract I reported the initial pilot study results from a middle school population (2004-2005). Abstracts II and III reported results from the replication and expansion studies (2005-2006), involving over 300 struggling readers in six schools in three school districts. Abstract IV examined the data collected from three years of TiR use in elementary, middle, and high school. Abstract IV also provided comparisons of FCAT scores of TiR users and other students in Florida.

Brief Overview of Research Studies that Used Tune In to Reading (TiR) 2004-2010

In the spring of 2004, my research team became intrigued with the use of singing to improve reading for struggling readers. A pilot study demonstrated that 8th graders reading at 4th grade level made an average instructional reading level gain of 1.2 years in nine weeks (Biggs, Homan, Dedrick, Rasinski & Minick, 2008). Three years of subsequent studies, funded by the Florida Department of Education and Just Read! Florida, validated the initial study results. After using TiR, the mean instructional reading level for more than 1000 struggling readers increased by more than one year at the end of the 9-week study. Reports of each study are available at: (http://www.coedu.usf.edu/main/departments/ce/Homan.html). Study results are also presented in referred publications (Calderone, C., Bennett, S., Homan, S., Dedrick, R., & Chatfield, A., 2009; Rasinski, T., Homan, S., & Biggs, M., 2009; Biggs, M., Homan, S., Dedrick, R., Rasinski, T., & Minick, V., 2008).
Table 1 provides a list of research studies using the TiR intervention with struggling readers from 2004 to 2010.

Table 1: Overview of 6 Years of Research

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study Year</th>
<th>School Year</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Grade Levels</th>
<th># of Study Subjects</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 04/05</td>
<td>Pilot study and sustainability analysis</td>
<td>7th, 8th</td>
<td>46</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 05/06</td>
<td>Replication/expansion</td>
<td>5th, 8th, 11th</td>
<td>252</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 06/07</td>
<td>English language learners Title 1 elementary level</td>
<td>6th, 3rd, 4th, 5th</td>
<td>79</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 07/08</td>
<td>4 elementary schools 2 Boys &amp; Girls Clubs 1 rural high school 8 Juvenile Justice sites</td>
<td>4th, 5th, 9th, 10th, 11th</td>
<td>393</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 08/09</td>
<td>1 elementary school 1 high school</td>
<td>4th, 5th, 10th</td>
<td>78, 110</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 09/10</td>
<td>1 elementary school, 1 high school</td>
<td>4th, 5th, 9th</td>
<td>120, 26</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Total Study Subjects: 1406

Purpose of Abstract V

While Abstract IV was a response to requests from teachers and school districts, Abstract V updates and adds research now available from TiR students in 2009 and 2010. From 2005 to 2010 the USF research team has presented results from the studies listed in Table 1 at over a dozen national conferences including The International Reading Association, The National Reading Conference and The American Reading Forum. In addition, results have been published in three peer-reviewed journals Reading Psychology (Biggs, Homan, Dedrick, Rasinski & Minick, 2008), and Reading and Writing Quarterly (Rasinski, Homan, & Biggs, 2009), Middle School Research Journal (Calderone, C., Bennett, S., Homan, S., Dedrick, R., & Chatfield, A, 2009).
The need for successful interventions for struggling readers continues to grow for Florida students. This update provides information that supports the previous studies’ results, struggling readers at elementary, middle and high school levels make significant FCAT reading gains after using TiR.

**Method/Procedure: “Achievement Level” vs. “Developmental Scale Score”**

We performed a descriptive synthesis of five years of results from several individual studies comprising a total of six grade level groups.

Most Florida educator’s, parents, and students are familiar with the “Achievement Levels” that are commonly reported for the FCAT. These levels are numbered 1-5 with 5 being the highest proficiency grouping. Although it may seem logical to use the Achievement Levels to measure student progress from year to year, according to the Technical Assistance paper (DPS: 03-065-FL DOE), the proper method of tracking gains is to use the amount of change from one year to the next in the Developmental Scale Score (DSS) of the student:

Additionally, the following explanation is from the 2007 Assessment and Accountability Briefing Book, published by FL DOE.

> “A different measurement, called a developmental scale score (DSS) is used to understand whether a student has “gained” in achievement. For the individual student reports (started in 2002), student scores are linked to the scale score of 100 to 500 and converted to scores on the developmental scales. The FCAT developmental scores range from 0 to about 3000 across Grades 3-10 and link two years of student FCAT data that track student progress over time. By using FCAT developmental scores, parents and educators can assess changes in scores across years and monitor the student’s academic progress from one grade to the next. Each year, student scores should increase according to the student’s increased achievement.”

Therefore, the analysis in this abstract is based on the amount of DSS change from one year to the next for students in the studies.

Criteria for inclusion in the descriptive synthesis were:
1. students had a matched DSS reading score from two consecutive years
2. students used the TiR reading intervention for at least nine weeks
3. FCAT DSS reading scores from two consecutive years were available for the matched comparison students, or
4. FCAT DSS reading scores from two consecutive years was available for the matched grade level from the school/district/and state.
The DSS reading scores of students who used TiR were compared to DSS scores of matched and/or randomized samples. Randomized control groups were used whenever possible, but when not available we used the district, state, and relevant school level DSS gain scores as comparison groups. The changes in Reading DSS from 2005-2006, 2006-2007, 2007-2008, 2008-2009, and 2009-2010 are shown in Table 2 below.

Results – DSS Change

Table 2 presents grade levels, DSS change, and incremental gains from studies spanning five years of research with struggling readers using TiR.

Table 2: Synthesis Results

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time Period</th>
<th>Grade</th>
<th>DSS Change</th>
<th>DSS Change</th>
<th>Incremental Gain</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Non-TiR</td>
<td>TiR</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>June ’05 – June ‘06</td>
<td>4th Grade</td>
<td>152</td>
<td>228</td>
<td>50.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>8th Grade</td>
<td>187</td>
<td>248</td>
<td>32.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>11th Grade</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>35.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>June ’06 – June ‘07</td>
<td>5th Grade</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>170</td>
<td>117.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>June ’07 – June ‘08</td>
<td>4th Grade</td>
<td>207</td>
<td>312</td>
<td>50.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5th Grade</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>116</td>
<td>63.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>June ’08 – June ‘09</td>
<td>4th Grade</td>
<td>213</td>
<td>354</td>
<td>66.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5th Grade</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>125</td>
<td>60.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10th Grade</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>209.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>June ’09 – June ‘10</td>
<td>4th Grade</td>
<td>206</td>
<td>262</td>
<td>27.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5th Grade</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>132</td>
<td>214.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>9th Grade</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>43.4%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: For detailed results for each group, please see Appendix A.

Descriptive synthesis of 12 groups of students over five years provides a consistent pattern of TiR student reading growth as measured by FCAT DSS reading scores. Students in all TiR classes were reading below level as measured by FCAT (level 1 or 2). The non-TiR students represent a mixture of FCAT levels. Some years provided control matched samples for analysis. Please refer to Appendix A for a more specific breakdown of non-TiR comparison groups. While the TiR students implemented the TiR intervention, the non-TiR students were using a variety of state approved intervention programs.
Our review of the data provided evidence of a dramatic increase in one-year DSS change in all five years. In the first year, the research team did not expect to see such significant gains, but the second phase of research confirmed that these gains were not an anomaly. The positive results were sustainable and statistically significant.

**Discussion**

_No Child Left Behind_ (NCLB) legislation has provided a national focus on struggling readers. There are now so many different reading interventions available, that independent research validating the efficacy of the products is of great help to educators making decisions on which reading intervention to choose for their students.

This federally-funded, multi-year study has examined the impact of reading and mathematics software interventions on standardized test scores. The first report from that study entitled _Effectiveness of Reading And Mathematics Software Products: Findings from The First Student Cohort_ was published by the Institute of Education Sciences (IES) in March 2007. The report from the second cohort, was released in February, 2009. The results from both cohorts were not encouraging. They reported that test scores were not significantly higher in classrooms using the selected software products. The products included Leapfrog, Read 180, Academy of Reading, and Knowledge Box. In fact, the first report states:

“Fourth grade reading products did not affect test scores by amounts that were statistically different from zero.”

(NCEE 2007 – 4006, p. xi)

Additionally, the report on the second cohort states:

“Similarly, in fourth grade, the measured product effect in the second year is not statistically significantly larger than the effect in the first year.”

(NCEE 2009-4042, p. xx)

The results of these reports demonstrated the importance of looking at interventions individually, and not by groups or categories. Reports on individual intervention products are the best way to provide useful guidance for teachers and district administrators in making decisions about which intervention product to purchase and use.

At the state level, educators can find some information on interventions at the Florida Center for Reading Research (FCRR) website. However, there were minimal studies reported using Florida’s FCAT, our high stakes assessment tool for measuring student progress. After a search of the Just Read! Florida website and the FCRR website, as well as dissertations from all universities in Florida, my research team found very few independent studies to validate a positive effect of any reading intervention on FCAT scores. We found no studies of interventions with multi-year student FCAT gains.
We are continuing to search for publications and technical reports using FCAT results. My research team would appreciate information on independent studies of reading interventions with progress measured by FCAT scores (homan@usf.edu).

Currently, we can report finding no evidence of an intervention other than Tune In to Reading that has independent research supporting multi-year student gains on FCAT.

This makes the results reported in this abstract even more unusual. The FCAT reading gains for students using TiR over the past five years are strong and impressive. They appear consistent for elementary, middle, and high school students. Innovative interventions that can produce consistent FCAT Developmental Scale Score gains over a range of grade levels are rare.

**Conclusions**

Students who used a non-standard reading intervention (Tune In to Reading) have consistently outperformed non-TiR users on the reading portion of the FCAT over a 5-year period. Over 1,400 students have now participated in studies using the high stakes FCAT assessment. These results are consistent over the 5-year period and appear to be unique.

From 2005 to 2010 students from four school districts representing ten different schools and six different grade levels (4th, 5th, 8th, 9th, 10th and 11th) achieved Developmental Scale Score gains that demonstrated striking improvement for students using TiR. While teachers and other curriculum have contributed to the gains we found with this group of struggling readers, having these results over five years and at ten different sites strongly support the use of TiR as the primary source of reading improvement.

These dramatic and consistent results give encouraging news to the educators who work with struggling readers.
Appendix A – DSS Change Comparison Charts

The charts in this appendix compare the DSS gains of students who used TiR to the DSS gains of control and comparison groups. The sample sizes are noted below each chart.

**Year 1 (2005 – 2006)**

### FCAT – DSS Change – 4th Grade

- All Florida 4th Grade: 172 (n=192,480)
- All Hillsborough 4th Grade: 100 (n=14,268)
- All School "G" 4th Grade: 170 (n=51)
- School "G" Control Group: 152 (n=19)
- School "G" Treatment Group: 228 (n=20)

**TIR users made 50.0% higher gains**

### FCAT – DSS Change – 8th Grade

- All Florida 8th Graders: 141 (n=200,421)
- All 8th Gr. in Districts "P" & "H": 96 (n=6,623)
- All 8th Gr. in 2 Schools in "P" & "H": 136 (n=665)
- Control Group 8th Graders: 187 (n=19)
- Treatment Group 8th Graders: 248 (n=20)

**TIR users made 32.6% higher gains**
Year 1 (2005 – 2006), cont’d

TIR users made 35.4% higher gains

Note: No state or district 11th grade data is available at FL DOE website.
Year 2 (2006 – 2007)

FCAT – DSS Change – 5th Grade

TIR users* made 118.0% higher gains

* Note: These students used TIR for 18 weeks, or approximately twice the normal 9-week usage period.
**Year 3 (2007 – 2008)**

**FCAT – DSS Change – 4th Grade**

- **All Florida 4th Grade**: n=192,761
- **All Hillsborough 4th Grade**: n=14,411
- **Composite of 4 Schools 4th Grade**: n=198
- **Composite Schools Treatment Group**: n=208

TIR users made 50.7% higher gains

**FCAT – DSS Change – 5th Grade**

- **All Florida 5th Grade**: n=195,400
- **All Hillsborough 5th Grade**: n=14,402
- **Composite of 4 Schools 5th Grade**: n=386
- **Composite Schools Treatment Group**: n=171

TIR users made 63.4% higher gains
**Year 4 (2008 – 2009)**

**FCAT – DSS Change – 4th Grade**

- **All Florida 4th Graders**
  - n=195,826
- **All Hillsborough Co. 4th Graders**
  - n=14,734
- **Treatment Group 4th Graders**
  - n=37

- TIR users made 66% higher gains

**FCAT – DSS Change – 5th Grade**

- **All Florida 5th Graders**
  - n=192,410
- **All Hillsborough Co. 5th Graders**
  - n=14,469
- **Treatment Group 5th Graders**
  - n=41

- TIR users made 60% higher gains
Year 4 (2008 – 2009), cont’d

TIR users made 209% higher gains

FCAT – DSS Change – 10th Grade

- All Florida 10th Graders, n=186,464
- All Orange Co. 10th Graders, n=12,504
- Treatment Group 10th Graders, n=110
Year 5 (2009 – 2010)

**FCAT – DSS Change – 4th Grade**

- **All Florida 4th Graders**: n=197,230
- **All Hillsborough Co. 4th Graders**: n=14,956
- **Treatment Group 4th Graders**: n=67

TIR users made 27% higher gains

**FCAT – DSS Change – 5th Grade**

- **All Florida 5th Graders**: n=196,559
- **All Hillsboro. Co. 5th Graders**: n=14,709
- **Treatment Group 5th Graders**: n=53

TIR users made 214% higher gains
Year 5 (2009 – 2010), cont’d

FCAT – DSS Change – 9th Grade

TIR users made 43% higher gains

All Florida 9th Graders
n=200,395

All Orange Co. 9th Graders
n=13,446

Treatment Group 9th Graders
n=18
Appendix B – A Non-standard Reading Intervention: Tune In to Reading

Reading interventions traditionally employ differentiated instruction, repetitive reading, and specific strategies that target the five skills identified in the Summary Report of National Reading Panel (2000): phonemic awareness, phonics, vocabulary, fluency and comprehension. Tune In to Reading uses a non-standard approach to intervention: namely singing.

During the period 2004-2010, the Tune In to Reading program has been continuously refined by the software development and publishing company, Electronic Learning Products. As of this writing (Jan. 2011), the company has deployed Version 5.0, which includes a number of modifications that were requested by educators and reading researchers. Below is a description of the program functions. Please contact the company for more details: www.tuneintoreading.com.

Tune In to Reading (TiR) is a web-based reading intervention program that has several unique features including providing real time pitch recognition and feedback to the user. The inclusion of pitch recognition is important. Lamb and Gregory (1993) found that pitch discrimination is significantly correlated with reading ability. The scoring mechanism, which is part of the TiR software, accommodates each individual’s vocal range. It contains a portfolio sign-in menu that aligns to the particular vocal range of each participant. Each student uses a microphone headset for listening, singing, and recording. TiR contains over 200 songs analyzed for readability level. The song reading levels range from 1st through 8th grade. Students sing songs, progressing from lower to higher readability levels, and read and reread the lyrics while attempting to improve their singing. In this way, repeated reading is integrated into the singing program.

Along with visual tracking of the words, the software provides a guideline for accurate pitch and tone, with a real time track line of the student’s voice while they are singing and recording a song. Students can actually see what they are singing in terms of pitch. The instant feedback provides a fundamentally interactive experience that promotes visual tracking, which encourages the student to improve pitch throughout the song and during additional repeated singings (readings).
Appendix C – What is FCAT?

Florida Comprehensive Assessment Test

The primary purpose of the FCAT is to assess student achievement of the higher order thinking skills for reading, writing, math, and science. Students take the FCAT in grades 3 through 11. In grades 4, 8, and 10, students take the writing portion of the test, in grades 5, 8, and 11, students take the science portion of the FCAT, and students in grades 3 through 10 take the reading and mathematics portions. Criterion-referenced tests are designed to identify an individual’s status with respect to an established standard of performance. For the FCAT, these established standards are the Sunshine State Standards. The FCAT’s secondary purpose is to compare the performance of Florida students to students across the nation, which is accomplished by using a norm-referenced test (NRT) for reading and math. The current NRT used is the Stanford Achievement Test 10 (SAT 10), published by Harcourt Assessment, Incorporated. It is a research-based norm-referenced achievement test that provides information on student performance based on its nationwide standardization program conducted in the spring and fall of 2002 based on the K-12 population.

After a student takes the FCAT in reading and mathematics, the student receives a Developmental Scale Score (DSS) that ranges from 0 to 3000. These scores provide additional information to help interpret scores from the FCAT SSS test. Developmental scores are used because simply looking at the scale scores that the FCAT reports, which range from 100 to 500, do not reflect students’ progress from previous years. Students should receive higher developmental scores as they move from grade to grade according to increased achievement. Since reading and mathematics are tested every year, this score is used to help parents and schools understand students’ year-to-year progress. Based on the scale score, the student is then assigned one of five Achievement Level Classifications ranging from 1 to 5.

A level five score indicates that the student has had success with the most challenging content of the SSS and has answered most of the test questions correctly, including the most challenging questions. Students who earn a level four score have had success with challenging content of the SSS, and have answered most of the test questions correctly but may have had only some success with questions concerning the most challenging content. A level three score means that the student has had partial success with the challenging content of the SSS, but their performance is inconsistent. They may have answered many of the test questions correctly, but they are generally less successful with the most challenging questions. Students at this level are considered on grade level in reading and mathematics. A student who earns a level two score has had limited success with the challenging content of the SSS. A level one score indicates little success with the challenging content of the SSS.
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